Update for E-203
6 August 2012

Nicolas Delerue

LAL (CNRS and Université de Paris-Sud)

Sorting some of the plots

- I have created a web page with links to various sets of plots related to the ongoing analysis work (Solène's work + my work):

http://lal.delerue.org/smith-purcell/data_analysis/201206_FACET/data_analysis_summary.html

http://lal.delerue.org/smith-purcell/data_analysis/201206_FACET/data_analysis_summary.html

SOME PLOTS FROM SOLÈNE (AND THEIR CONTINUATION)

Data with error bars

- Solène studied the various uncertainties in the polarization data to confirm that the polarization observed is significant. All plots are at http://lal.delerue.org/smith-purcell/data_analysis/solene/solene/polarization/images/errorbars/errorbars.html



Phi study

- Do we want to prepare 25mm plates to do the study suggested by Solène?
- This is not much work but it is better to order these plates as early as possible to avoid rushing the workshop.
- Acceptance would be between 5% and 10% for 1mm slits.





Reconstruction using polarisation

- Plots already circulated by Solène.
- Added here for discussion.
- Note: beam parameters were not correct (both polar. & filters) => example only










preliminary data
reconstruction with the filters (raw data)
no compression (filters)
medium compression (filters)
maximum compression (filters)
beam profile (arbitrary unit)
time (ps)
0.6
0.5
0.4
0.3
0.2
0.1
0
-0.1
-1
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

PLAYING WITH CAUCHY

Run 23 55 55 reconstruction



- 4 data points removed in Cauchy.
- Smoothing algorithm used.
- Comparable to George's plots.

Contribution of each grating



Effect of beam position

(50%, 75%, 90%, 110%, 120%, 150% and 200% of recorded position)



Effect of charge

Effect of beam size

Effect of emittance

PROPOSED HARDWARE UPGRADE

Single shot double polarisation measurement



- By using a polarizer to split the beam into 2 polarization components one could measure both components on the same shot.
- This requires twice as many detectors and winston cones

Single shot double polarisation measurement





- Might be possible to modify existing hardware to add the second cone, however then the two arms might not be identical.
- Could be done using Thorlabs 30mm cubes and cage system.
- Currently looking for a supplier for Winston cones.
- Could also try with parabolic mirrors (cheaper?).
- This would requires twice as many detectors
  => DAQ upgrade required (an opportunity for better integration?)
- Same geometry can be used for detector calibration (by replacing the polarizer with a beam splitter).

Thermopile vs Pyro

GRATINGS AT VARIOUS BLAZE ANGLE

Gratings study

- Looking at Loewen one would expect that at lower blaze angle we would recover data at shorter wavelength.
- GFW gives a different message.
- GFW simulations are available at http://lal.delerue.org/smith-purcell/data_analysis/gratings/compare_gratings.html
- This may come from the fact that GFW incorporates bunch length effects…
- Could we try to extract different the contributions separately?
- Also looked at the effect of the phi efficiency but no significant difference.


5 deg
9 deg
17.5 deg
26.75 deg
36 deg
48 deg
1.0
0.5
0.0
0.5
1.0
1.5
Wavelength
µm


Total -- R2 250 10deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P1 -- R2 250 10deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P2 -- R2 250 10deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
Phi=0
2
3
4
5
6
7
Phi max
Avg
Wght avg


Total -- R2 250 20deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P1 -- R2 250 20deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P2 -- R2 250 20deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
Phi=0
2
3
4
5
6
7
Phi max
Avg
Wght avg


Total -- R2 250 30deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
P1 -- R2 250 30deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
P2 -- R2 250 30deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
0.4
0.2
0
20
40
60
80
100
120
140
160
180
Phi=0
2
3
4
5
6
7
Phi max
Avg
Wght avg


Total -- R2 250 40deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P1 -- R2 250 40deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P2 -- R2 250 40deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
Phi=0
2
3
4
5
6
7
Phi max
Avg
Wght avg


Total -- R2 500 10deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P1 -- R2 500 10deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P2 -- R2 500 10deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
Phi=0
2
3
4
5
6
7
Phi max
Avg
Wght avg


Total -- R2 500 20deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P1 -- R2 500 20deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P2 -- R2 500 20deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
Phi=0
2
3
4
5
6
7
Phi max
Avg
Wght avg


Total -- R2 500 30deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P1 -- R2 500 30deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P2 -- R2 500 30deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
Phi=0
2
3
4
5
6
7
Phi max
Avg
Wght avg


Total -- R2 500 40deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P1 -- R2 500 40deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P2 -- R2 500 40deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
Phi=0
2
3
4
5
6
7
Phi max
Avg
Wght avg


Total -- R2 1000 10deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P1 -- R2 1000 10deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P2 -- R2 1000 10deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
Phi=0
2
3
4
5
6
7
Phi max
Avg
Wght avg


Total -- R2 1000 10deg 200fs -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P1 -- R2 1000 10deg 200fs -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P2 -- R2 1000 10deg 200fs -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
Phi=0
2
3
4
5
6
7
Phi max
Avg
Wght avg


Total -- R2 1000 20deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P1 -- R2 1000 20deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P2 -- R2 1000 20deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
Phi=0
2
3
4
5
6
7
Phi max
Avg
Wght avg


Total -- R2 1000 20deg 200fs -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P1 -- R2 1000 20deg 200fs -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P2 -- R2 1000 20deg 200fs -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
Phi=0
2
3
4
5
6
7
Phi max
Avg
Wght avg


Total -- R2 1000 30deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P1 -- R2 1000 30deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P2 -- R2 1000 30deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
Phi=0
2
3
4
5
6
7
Phi max
Avg
Wght avg


Total -- R2 1000 30deg 200fs -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P1 -- R2 1000 30deg 200fs -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P2 -- R2 1000 30deg 200fs -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
Phi=0
2
3
4
5
6
7
Phi max
Avg
Wght avg


Total -- R2 1000 40deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P1 -- R2 1000 40deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P2 -- R2 1000 40deg -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
Phi=0
2
3
4
5
6
7
Phi max
Avg
Wght avg


Total -- R2 1000 40deg 200fs -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P1 -- R2 1000 40deg 200fs -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
P2 -- R2 1000 40deg 200fs -- Phi step (deg)= 0.4
efficiency
theta
Phi=0
2
3
4
5
6
7
Phi max
Avg
Wght avg